

Wigner's Formulation of Noncommutative Quantum Mechanics

Akira Kokado*, Takashi Okamura[†] and Takesi Saito[‡]

*Kobe International University, Kobe 658-0032, Japan**

Department of Physics, Kwansei Gakuin University, Sanda 669-1337, Japan^{†,‡}

February 1, 2008

Abstract

When we have noncommutativity among coordinates (or conjugate momenta), we consider Wigner's formulation of quantum mechanics, including a new derivation of path integral formula. We also propose the Moyal star product based on the Dirac bracket in constrained systems.

*E-mail: kokado@kobe-kui.ac.jp

[†]E-mail: okamura@ksc.kwansei.ac.jp

[‡]E-mail: tsaito@k7.dion.ne.jp

I. Introduction

The idea of noncommutative structure at small length scales is not new. In 1947, Snyder first considered "quantized spacetimes." The idea was that noncommutative spacetimes could introduce an effective cutoff in field theory, in analogy to a lattice. Recently there has been a revival of this idea and many papers have been published [1]. Especially interesting is a model of open strings propagating in a constant B field background. Previous studies show that this model is related to noncommutativity of D-branes [2], and in the zero slope limit to noncommutative Yang-Mills theory [3]. An intriguing mixing of UV and IR theories has also been found in the perturbative dynamics of noncommutative field theories [4].

In order to describe field theories defined on noncommutative spacetimes, we are better to follow Wigner's formulation [5] in quantum mechanics. Consider a scalar field $\phi(x)$, which is expressed in Fourier integral

$$\phi(x) = \int dp D(p) \exp(ipx). \quad (1.1)$$

We now define a corresponding new function

$$\hat{\phi}(\hat{x}) = \int dp D(p) \exp(ip\hat{x}), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\hat{x}^\mu$'s obey noncommutative commutation relations

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}. \quad (\mu, \nu = 1, \dots, n). \quad (1.3)$$

Here $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ is a noncommutative real antisymmetric parameter. The Wigner representation of $\hat{\phi}(\hat{x})$ is defined by

$$\phi_w(x) = \int \frac{d^n p}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \text{Tr}[\hat{\phi}(\hat{x}) \exp(ip(\hat{x} - x))], \quad (1.4)$$

where Tr is defined properly in the text, and we find

$$\phi_w(x) = \phi(x). \quad (1.5)$$

The correspondence $\phi_w(x) \sim \hat{\phi}(\hat{x})$ is called Weyl-Wigner-Moyal(WWM) correspondence. A product of two fields $(\phi\psi)_w$ is then given by the Moyal star product

$$(\phi\psi)_w = \phi(x) \star \psi(x) = \phi(x) \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu} \overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu \overrightarrow{\partial}_\nu\right) \psi(x')|_{x=x'}. \quad (1.6)$$

Noncommutative field theories are, therefore, constructed in terms of the Moyal star product based on WWM correspondence.

Contrary to field theories, however, Wigner's formulation of noncommutative quantum mechanics seems to have so far been not considered enough. Wigner's theory is

another approach to quantum mechanics, in which the density matrix plays an important role. Here, by noncommutativity we mean noncommutativity among coordinates or conjugate momenta or both. This appears sometimes in constrained systems. One of the most simple examples is the system where a charged particle moves in a so strong constant magnetic field that the kinetic energy is neglected [6]. Such a constrained system is familiar in conventional quantum mechanics, but not in Wigner's formulation [7]. Hence, in the following we would like to consider Wigner's formulation of noncommutative quantum mechanics. First, in Sec.2 we give a new derivation of path integral formula based on Wigner's representation. In Sec.3 several noncommutative quantum theories are considered, including the Moyal star product based on the Dirac bracket. The final section is devoted to concluding remarks.

II. Path integral in Wigner's formulation

We consider a transition amplitude

$$\langle q_i, t_i | q_{i-1}, t_{i-1} \rangle = \langle q_i | \hat{U}(t_i, t_{i-1}) | q_{i-1} \rangle \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$\hat{U}(t_i, t_{i-1}) = \exp\{-i\hat{H}(t_i - t_{i-1})\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $t_i - t_{i-1} = \epsilon$ is an infinitesimal time-interval and $\hat{H} = \hat{H}(\hat{x}, \hat{p})$ is a Hamiltonian operator, which is hermitian but not always written in Weyl-ordered forms.¹ Here we have a commutation relation $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i$ between one-dimensional coordinate $\hat{x}$ and its canonical momentum $\hat{p}$. In the following, quantities with the hat stand for operators, while those without the hat are c-numbers.

Our new method is based on Wigner's representation of Eq.(2.2), which is defined as

$$U_w(t_i, t_{i-1}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Delta_i d\xi_i \exp\{-i(\xi_i x_i + \Delta_i p_i)\} \text{Tr}[\hat{U}(t_i, t_{i-1}) \exp i(\xi_i \hat{x} + \Delta_i \hat{p})]. \quad (2.3)$$

By using a formula

$$\exp i(\xi_i \hat{x} + \Delta_i \hat{p}) = \exp(i\frac{\Delta_i \hat{p}}{2}) \exp(i\xi_i \hat{x}) \exp(i\frac{\Delta_i \hat{p}}{2}), \quad (2.4)$$

the right-hand side of Eq.(2.3) is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Delta_i d\xi_i e^{-i(\xi_i x_i + \Delta_i p_i)} \int dX dX_1 \langle X | \hat{U} | X_1 \rangle \langle X_1 | e^{i\frac{\Delta_i \hat{p}}{2}} e^{i\xi_i \hat{x}} e^{i\frac{\Delta_i \hat{p}}{2}} | X \rangle \\ &= \int d\Delta_i e^{-i\Delta_i p_i} \langle x_i + \frac{\Delta_i}{2} | \hat{U} | x_i - \frac{\Delta_i}{2} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

¹For example, the Weyl-ordered form of $p^2 q^2$ is given by $(p^2 q^2 + p q^2 p + q p^2 q + q^2 p^2)/4$. The form of $(p^2 q^2 + q^2 p^2)/2$ is hermitian but not of the Weyl-ordered form.

where we use the relation $\exp(i\Delta_i\hat{p})|X\rangle = |X - \Delta_i/2\rangle$. If we set

$$x_i + \frac{\Delta_i}{2} = q_i, \quad x_i - \frac{\Delta_i}{2} = q_{i-1} \quad (2.6)$$

or

$$x_i = \frac{q_i + q_{i-1}}{2}, \quad \Delta_i = q_i - q_{i-1} \quad (2.7)$$

and substitute Eq.(2.2) into the last equation of (2.5), we have

$$U_w(t_i, t_{i-1}) = \int d\Delta_i \exp(-i\Delta_i p_i) \langle q_i, t_i | q_{i-1}, t_{i-1} \rangle. \quad (2.8)$$

On the other hand, one may substitute $\hat{U}(t_i, t_{i-1}) \cong 1 - i\epsilon\hat{H}(\hat{x}, \hat{p})$, ($\epsilon = t_i - t_{i-1}$) into Eq.(2.3), i.e.,

$$U_w(t_i, t_{i-1}) \cong \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Delta_i d\xi_i \exp\{-i(\xi_i x_i + \Delta_i p_i)\} \text{Tr}[\{1 - i\epsilon\hat{H}(\hat{x}, \hat{p})\} \exp i(\xi_i \hat{x} + \Delta_i \hat{p})]. \quad (2.9)$$

By using a formula

$$\text{Tr}[\exp i(\xi_i \hat{x} + \Delta_i \hat{p})] = 2\pi \delta(\xi_i) \delta(\Delta_i), \quad (2.10)$$

Eq.(2.9) is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} U_w(t_i, t_{i-1}) &\cong 1 - i\epsilon H_w(x_i, p_i) \\ &= \exp(-i\epsilon H_w(x_i, p_i)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where $H_w(x_i, p_i)$ is the Wigner representation of $\hat{H}(\hat{x}, \hat{p})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_w(x_i, p_i) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Delta_i d\xi_i \exp\{-i(\xi_i x_i + \Delta_i p_i)\} \text{Tr}[\hat{H} \exp i(\xi_i \hat{x} + \Delta_i \hat{p})] \\ &= H_w\left(\frac{q_i + q_{i-1}}{2}, p_i\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Here we have substituted the first equation in (2.7), i.e., x_i is the middle point of q_i and q_{i-1} . From the inverse Fourier transform of Eq.(2.8) with (2.11) we get

$$\langle q_i, t_i | q_{i-1}, t_{i-1} \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dp_i \exp i\{\Delta_i p_i - \epsilon H_w(\frac{q_i + q_{i-1}}{2}, p_i)\}. \quad (2.13)$$

This formula is familiar in the conventional path integral formulation, if $\Delta_i = q_i - q_{i-1}$, $\epsilon = t_i - t_{i-1}$ are substituted into Eq.(2.13).

The transition amplitude between finite time-intervals t' and t is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q', t' | q, t \rangle &= \int \langle q', t' | q_n, t_n \rangle dq_n \langle q_n, t_n | q_{n-1}, t_{n-1} \rangle dq_{n-1} \cdots dq_1 \langle q_1, t_1 | q, t \rangle \\ &= \int \prod_{i=1}^n dq_i(t_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} \exp \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} i[(q_l - q_{l-1})p_l - (t_l - t_{l-1})H_w(\frac{q_l + q_{l-1}}{2}, p_l)] \\ &= \int [\frac{dq dp}{2\pi}] \exp i \int_t^{t'} d\tau [\dot{q}p - H_w(q, p)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

This coincides with the conventional path integral formula. However, we should note that the Wigner Hamiltonian $H_w(q, p)$ does not always coincide with the classical Hamiltonian $H_{cl}(q, p)$ which is simply replaced $\hat{x}, \hat{p}$ with c-numbers q, p in $\hat{H}(\hat{q}, \hat{p})$. Of course, $H_w(q, p)$ coincides with $H_{cl}(q, p)$ when $\hat{H}$ is written in Weyl-ordered.

Our derivation is based on Wigner's representation of the time developing operator (2.2). It is nothing but the Fourier component of transition amplitude (2.1), as is seen from Eq.(2.8). Contrary to ours, the conventional derivation is based on the direct calculation of the transition amplitude (2.1) itself. Since both functions are related with each other through the Fourier integral, both derivations are essentially the same.

III. Noncommutative quantum mechanics

III.1 Simple examples with constraints

The Wigner formulation is considered in noncommutative quantum mechanics. Let us first consider a simple example: A particle moves on a two-dimensional plane. Its coordinates $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2$ and their conjugate momenta $\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2$ obey noncommutative commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] &= i\epsilon_{ij}, \\ [\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] &= i\delta_{ij}, \quad (i, j = 1, 2) \\ [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] &= i\epsilon_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

An actual example that leads to such relations is given in Ref.[6]. The other cases will be considered later. Here we have neglected a discussion of the intermediary procedure of Dirac quantization for constrained systems, since it is irrelevant to our present purpose.

Now, whenever we have the commutation relations (3.1), we always obtain constraints

$$\omega_i = \hat{p}_i - \epsilon_{ij}\hat{x}_j = 0, \quad (i, j = 1, 2). \tag{3.2}$$

because ω_i 's are commutable with all of $\hat{x}_i$ and $\hat{p}_i$, hence such quantities are nothing but c-number constants, which are set to be zeros. Let us then eliminate $\hat{p}_i$ from Eqs.(3.1) and (3.2). We are left with a single commutation relation

$$[\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2] = i. \tag{3.3}$$

The Hamiltonian operator $H(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)$ is now a function of $\hat{x}_1$ and $\hat{x}_2$, and $\hat{x}_2$ plays a role of $\hat{p}_1$. The conventional Wigner formulation is, therefore, valid for noncommutative variables $\hat{x}_1$ and $\hat{x}_2$. The path integral formula (2.14) is also true, if q and p are replaced with x_1 and x_2 , respectively. The Wigner function of density matrix $\hat{\rho}$ is defined by

$$\rho_w(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}\hat{F}(\vec{x})], \tag{3.4}$$

where $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2)$, and

$$\hat{F}(\vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^2\xi}{2\pi} \exp\{i\vec{\xi}(\hat{x} - \vec{x})\}. \quad (3.5)$$

The trace of [] can be calculated, for example, by using eigenstates $|x_1\rangle$ of $\hat{x}_1$, as $\int dx'_1 \langle x'_1 | [] | x'_1 \rangle$. From this definition Eq.(3.4) is reduced to

$$\rho_W(\vec{x}) = \int \frac{d\xi_2}{2\pi} \langle x_1 + \frac{\xi_2}{2} | \hat{\rho} | x_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2} \rangle \exp(-i\xi_2 x_2). \quad (3.6)$$

The Wigner representation of dynamical variable $\hat{A}$ is also defined by

$$A_W(\vec{x}) = \text{Tr}[\hat{A}\hat{F}(\vec{x})]. \quad (3.7)$$

The expectation value of $\hat{A}$ is represented as

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}\hat{A}] = \int d^2x \rho_W(\vec{x}) A_W(\vec{x}). \quad (3.8)$$

A product of dynamical variables $(AB)_W$ is seen to be given by the Moyal star product:

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)_W &= A_W(\vec{x}) * B_W(\vec{x}) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\zeta_j}\right) A_W(\vec{x} + \vec{\xi}) B_W(\vec{x} + \vec{\zeta})|_{\vec{\xi}=\vec{\zeta}=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

This is a typical example of Wigner's formulation of noncommutative quantum mechanics. We have used the constraints to eliminate $\hat{p}$'s, and then reduced the remaining coordinates $\hat{x}$'s to the canonical form. Wigner's formulation together with the path integral formula is valid for these coordinates.

III.2 Extension to higher dimensions

Let us extend the above 2-dimensional space to the $2N$ -dimensional space. Noncommutative commutation relations are given as

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] &= i\theta_{ij}, \\ [\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] &= ig_{ij}, \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, 2N) \\ [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] &= i(g\theta^{-1}g)_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where θ_{ij} (g_{ij}) is an antisymmetric (symmetric) regular matrix with real c-numbers. Such an example is given in Ref.[6]. From (3.10) we find constraints

$$\omega_i = \hat{p}_i + (g\theta^{-1})_{il}\hat{x}_l = 0, \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, 2N). \quad (3.11)$$

Eliminating $\hat{p}_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, 2N$) from Eqs.(3.10) and (3.11), we are left with

$$[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = i\theta_{ij}, \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, 2N) \quad (3.12)$$

Let us take the canonical form for θ_{ij} , i.e.,

$$\tilde{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & L_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & L_5 & & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & L_{2N-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{2n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{\theta}_{2n-1,2n} \\ -\tilde{\theta}_{2n-1,2n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.13)$$

so that only non-zero components of Eq.(3.12) are expressed as

$$[\hat{z}_{2n-1}, \hat{z}_{2n}] = i\tilde{\theta}_{2n-1,2n}, \quad (n = 1, \dots, N) \quad (3.14)$$

where $\hat{z}'$'s are the correspondent canonical variables. Hereafter we normalize $\hat{z}'$'s in such a way that $\tilde{\theta}_{2n-1,2n} = -\tilde{\theta}_{2n,2n-1} = 1$ holds. This means that dynamical variables with odd (even) numbers are commutable with each other, whereas $\hat{z}_{2n}$ plays a role of the conjugate momentum of $\hat{z}_{2n-1}$. It may be convenient to introduce new notations such as

$$\hat{z}_{2n-1} \equiv \hat{Q}_n, \quad \hat{z}_{2n} \equiv \hat{P}_n, \quad (n = 1, \dots, N). \quad (3.15)$$

They obey canonical commutation relations

$$[\hat{Q}_m, \hat{P}_n] = i\delta_{mn}, \quad [\hat{Q}_m, \hat{Q}_n] = [\hat{P}_m, \hat{P}_n] = 0, \quad (m, n = 1, \dots, N). \quad (3.16)$$

The conventional Wigner formulation is, therefore, valid in the $2N$ -dimensional phase space $(\vec{Q}, \vec{P})$. The path integral formula (2.14) also can be extended to the same phase space by replacing q and p with $\vec{Q}$ and $\vec{P}$, respectively.

The odd dimensional case can be always reduced to the even dimensional case, because the odd dimensional matrix θ_{ij} is necessary to have at least one zero eigenvalue.

III.3 Cases without constraints

Let us consider noncommutative commutation relations of the type

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] &= i\theta_{ij}, \\ [\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_j] &= ig_{ij}, \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, N) \\ [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where θ_{ij} is the same defined in Eq.(3.10), while g_{ij} is a regular constant real matrix which is not always symmetric. Here, there are no constraints among variables. However, Eq.(3.17) can be always rewritten in following forms. Define $\hat{q}_i$ by

$$\hat{q}_i = \hat{x}_i + \frac{1}{2}(\theta g^{-1})_{ij}\hat{p}_j, \quad (3.18)$$

so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{q}_i, \hat{q}_j] &= 0, \\ [\hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_j] &= ig_{ij}, \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, N) \\ [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

After g_{ij} is transformed into a diagonal form and then the corresponding variables are rescaled, Eq.(3.19) become conventional canonical forms like Eq.(3.16). Hence, in this case we find nothing new.

III.4 Constraints unsolved

In previous subsections we obtain Wigner's formulation for constrained systems, by solving constraints to eliminate redundant canonical variables. In this subsection we consider the same problem without eliminating redundant variables.

Let us denote canonical variables on the $2N$ dimensional phase space Γ as (q_i, p_i) , $(i = 1, \dots, N)$. We are enough to consider only the second class constraints

$$\omega_\alpha(q, p) \approx 0, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, 2M(< 2N). \quad (3.20)$$

By definition of the second class the Poisson bracket for them is a regular matrix

$$C_{\alpha, \beta} \equiv \{\omega_\alpha, \omega_\beta\}_P, \quad \det C_{\alpha, \beta} \not\approx 0. \quad (3.21)$$

If we can solve the constraints, we obtain the $(2N - 2M)$ dimensional reduced phase space Γ^* , which is parametrized by canonical variables (q_I^*, p_I^*) , $(I = 1, \dots, N - M)$. The original variables (q_i, p_i) , $(i = 1, \dots, N)$ are equivalent to variables $(q_I^*, p_I^*, \omega_\alpha)$, $(I = 1, \dots, N - M; \alpha = 1, \dots, 2M)$.

We assume the existence of quantum system on the reduced phase space Γ^* , and denote its Hilbert space as H^* and any operator as $\hat{A}^*$. The Wigner representation of $\hat{A}^*$ on Γ^* is given by

$$A_w^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) = \text{Tr}^*[A^* F^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*)], \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$\hat{F}^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) = \int \frac{d\vec{\xi}^* d\vec{\Delta}^*}{(2\pi)^{N-M}} \exp\{i[\vec{\xi}^* \cdot (\vec{q}^* - \vec{q}^*) + \vec{\Delta}^* \cdot (\vec{p}^* - \vec{p}^*)]\}. \quad (3.23)$$

The expectation value of $\hat{A}^*$ is defined as

$$\langle \hat{A}^* \rangle = \text{Tr}^*[\hat{A}^* \hat{\rho}^*], \quad (3.24)$$

where $\hat{\rho}^*$ is a density operator and its Wigner's function is

$$\rho_w^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) = (2\pi)^{M-N} \text{Tr}^*[\hat{\rho}^* \hat{F}^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*)]. \quad (3.25)$$

From Eqs.(3.22)-(3.25) we have

$$\langle \hat{A}^* \rangle = \int d\vec{q}^* d\vec{p}^* A_w^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) \rho_w^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) \quad (3.26)$$

Our task is to rewrite the expectation value (3.26) in terms of the original phase space variables (q_i, p_i) , $(i = 1, \dots, N)$. This is easily obtained by Faddeev-Popov's method as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{A}^* \rangle &= \int d\vec{q}^* d\vec{p}^* d\vec{\omega} \delta^{(2M)}(\vec{\omega}) A_w^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) \rho_w^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) \\ &= \int d\vec{q}^* d\vec{p}^* d\vec{\omega} \delta^{(2M)}(\vec{\omega}) A_w(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*, \omega_\alpha) \rho_w(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*, \omega_\alpha) \\ &= \int d\vec{q} d\vec{p} d\vec{\omega} \delta^{(2M)}(\vec{\omega}) [\det(C_{\alpha\beta})]^{1/2} A_w(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) \rho_w(\vec{q}, \vec{p}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where $A_w(\rho_w)$ is an arbitrary extension of $A_w^*(\rho_w^*)$ onto Γ , which is weakly equivalent to $A_w^*(\rho_w^*)$, so that $A_w \delta^{(2M)}(\vec{\omega}) = A_w^* \delta^{(2M)}(\vec{\omega})$. Though this extension is arbitrary, the expectation value (3.27) is unique. This method is well known to be extensively useful in path integral formula in constrained system, and is trivially working well also in Wigner's formulation.

Finally let us consider a product of operators $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$. The Wigner representation of $\hat{A}\hat{B}$ is given by the Moyal star product based on the Poisson bracket on Γ^* :

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)_w^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) &= A_w(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) \star B_w(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) \\ &= A_w(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \sum_{I=1}^{N-M} \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial q_I^*} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial p_I^*} - \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial p_I^*} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial q_I^*} \right) \right] B_w^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*). \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Classically, the Poisson bracket on Γ^* is extended to the Dirac bracket on Γ . Hence, it is natural to expect that the Moyal star product based on the Dirac bracket on Γ will appear in Eq.(3.28) when it is extended onto Γ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)_{DW}(z) &\equiv A_w(z) \star_D B_w(z) \\ &= A_w(z) \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \{z_\mu, z_\nu\}_D \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z_\mu} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial z_\nu} \right] B_w(z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where we use the condensed notation $(z_\mu) = (q_1, p_1, \dots, q_N, p_N) \in \Gamma$.

In fact, the Eq.(3.28) becomes

$$A_w^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) \star B_w^*(\vec{q}^*, \vec{p}^*) \approx A_w(z^*) \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \{z_\rho^*, z_\sigma^*\}_D \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z_\rho^*} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial z_\sigma^*} \right] B_w(z^*), \quad (3.30)$$

where $z^* = (q_I^*, p_I^*, \omega_\alpha \approx 0)$, ($I = 1, \dots, N - M$; $\alpha = 1, \dots, 2M$), since the Poisson bracket on Γ^* can be replaced by the Dirac bracket $\{z_\rho^*, z_\sigma^*\}_D$ on Γ . When the constraints are linear functions of z , as previous sections, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{the r.h.s of (3.30)} &= A_W(z^*) \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \{z_\rho^*, z_\sigma^*\}_D \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z_\mu} \frac{\partial z_\mu}{\partial z_\rho^*} \frac{\partial z_\nu}{\partial z_\sigma^*} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial z_\nu} \right] B_W(z^*) \\
&\approx A_W(z) \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \{z_\rho, z_\sigma\}_D \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z_\mu} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial z_\nu} \right] B_W(z) \\
&= A_W(z) \star_D B_W(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

This shows that the Moyal star product based on the Dirac bracket on Γ is weakly equivalent to the Moyal star product based on the Poisson bracket on Γ^* .

IV. Concluding remarks

Our derivation of path integral formula is based on $U_W(t_i, t_{i-1})$, which is Wigner's representation of the time developing operator $\hat{U}(t_i, t_{i-1}) = \exp\{-i\hat{H}(t_i - t_{i-1})\}$. Contrary to ours, the conventional derivation is based on the direct calculation of transition amplitude $\langle q_i, t_i | q_{i-1}, t_{i-1} \rangle = \langle q_i | \hat{U}((t_i, t_{i-1}) | q_{i-1} \rangle$ itself. In this sense our derivation is alternatively new. However, since both functions are related with each other through the Fourier integral, as is seen from Eq.(2.8), both derivations are essentially the same.

We have then considered Wigner's formulation of noncommutative quantum mechanics. Noncommutativity among coordinates $\hat{x}$'s and also momenta $\hat{p}$'s appears often in constrained systems. First we have used constraints to eliminate $\hat{p}$'s, and then transformed remaining coordinates to canonical forms. Wigner's formulation including the path integral formula has been shown to be applicable for these canonical forms. Secondly we have considered constraints without eliminating the redundant variables. For expectation values of dynamical variables the Fadeev-Popov method has been useful to give unique definitions for them. Finally we have proposed the Moyal star product based on the Dirac bracket for constrained systems.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Reijiro Kubo for his critical comments. After completion of this work we drew our attention to similar works of Ref.[7].

References

- [1] For recent review article, see M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, Reviews of Modern Phys., **73**, 977(2001).
- [2] C. Chu and P. Ho, Nucl.Phys. **B550**, (1999), 151; hep-th/9812219.
M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Phys. Lett. **B455**(1999), 129; hep-th/9901080.
F. Ardafai and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, J. High Energy Phys. **02**(2000), 016; hep-th/9810072; hep-th/9906161.
- [3] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, J. High Energy Phys. **09**(1999), 032; hep-th/9908142.
F. Ardafai and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, J. High Energy Phys. **02**(2000), 016; hep-th/9810072; hep-th/9906161.
- [4] S. Minwalla, M. Van Raamsdonk and N. Seiberg, hep-th/9912072.
A. Matusis, L. Susskind and N. Toumbas, hep-th/0002075.
- [5] E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. **40**, 749(1932).
- [6] T. Saito, Gravitation and Cosmology **6**, No.22(22), (2000), 130.
A. Kokado, G. Konisi and T. Saito, Prog. Theor. Phys. **104**(2000), 1289; hep-th/0009190.
- [7] See also for other approaches, T. Hori, T. Koikawa and T. Maki, "Moyal Quantization for Constrained System", hep-th/0206190.
P. M. Ho and H. C. Kao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, (2002)151602; hep-th/0110191.
Omer F. Dayi and Lara T. Kelleyane, "Wigner Functions for the Landau Problem in Noncommutative Spaces", hep-th/0202062.